**Pre-proposal’s title**

Please use **an easily readable document layout** (A4 pages, Calibri 11 or equivalent, single spaced, 2cm margins, numbered pages).

**Proposals must be in PDF format and cannot exceed a four-page limit. No annexe allowed.** CVs of scientific coordinator and partner’s scientific leaders must be completed on-line. No budget information in the scientific document.

**Preproposals must fulfil the two main evaluation criteria** : « Quality and scientific aim » and « Organisation and implementation of the project ». **Applicants are advised to consult the AAPG2022 guide for further information about different sub-criteria related to the chosen funding instrument**.

# Pre-proposal’s context, positioning and objective(s)

**This paragraph refers to the evaluation criterion « Quality and scientific aim ». The following information should be detailed here:**

* project’s objectives and research hypotheses;
* position of the project as it relates to the state of the art;
* methodology to reach the scientific objectives; consideration of the interdisciplinarity / transdisciplinarity of the project in the chosen methodology.
* innovative and / or ambitious nature of the project, originality of the objectives and the methodology
* ability of the project to address the research issues covered by the chosen research theme (cf. §F. Scientific themes covered by the Generic Call for Proposals 2022 in the call).

T**he criterion « Quality and scientific aims» will be the determining one: only the projects having received an « A » will proceed to the second step of the evaluation process.**

# Partnership (consortium or team)

***This paragraph refers to the evaluation criterion « Organisation and implementation of the project ». The following information should be detailed here:***

* **For a collaborative research project (PRC, PRCE),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his experience as a coordinator of projects and her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project;
	+ consortium and its complementarity: quality and complementarity nature of the consortium to reach the objectives, identity of the scientists involved, their institution and all other information providing a framework for judging the quality and complementarity of the partners and of the effectiveness of the partnership.
* **For mono-team project (PRME),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his experience as a coordinator of projects and her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project;
* team and its expertise to achieve the scientific goals, identity of the scientists involved, demonstration of the durability of the team throughout the project.
* **For young researcher’s project (JCJC),**
	+ scientific coordinator, her/his position within the organisation of the host laboratory, her/his experience as a coordinator of projects, and her/his experience in the scientific field, her/his involvement in the project; year in which the doctoral thesis was defended and year of assumption of duties in the different organisation (including trial period);
	+ scientific coordinator’s team, its quality and complementarity to reach the objectives;
	+ project's capacity to promote the coordinator’s level of responsibility or development of the young researcher's own team.

# References related to the project

**This section refers to the evaluation criterion « Quality and scientific aim ».**

List of the bibliographical references used for the pre-proposal.

Please, fill in complete references, i.e. including all the co-authors, complete title, title of the journal, etc. If available, please complete these references by indicating the « open access » link to improve accessibility for the reviewers.

Preprints are allowed, especially those referencing preliminary data.
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